

# University of California Santa Barbara

# CS 176A: Introduction to Computer Communication Networks

Fall 2019

(3 Sections)

ESCIONLINE SURVEY

(3552) **1.** How organized were the discussion/lab sections that this TA led?

(a) Very organized(b) Quite organized

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

- (c) Somewhat organized
- (d) Minimally organized
- (e) Not organized
- (f) Not applicable

Response weighting:		2 (b)	3	4	5	0 (f)	Blar		Total	Total Courses	Moon	Median
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	Respo	ise st	udents	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	76%	18%	6%					)	17	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)												
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	52%	27%	11%	3%	2%	5%		l	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	52%	27%	11%	3%	2%	5%		l	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	52%	27%	11%	3%	2%	5%		L	1069	92	1.7	1.0

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses. Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms. End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

(3553) **2.** How clearly and precisely did the TA explain the course material?

(a) Very clearly(b) Quite clearly(c) Somewhat clearly(d) Minimally clearly(e) Not clearly

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter Student-weighted Norms (UG students)	82%	18%				0	17	1	1.2	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	54%	27%	13%	3%	3%	12	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time Campus TAs over time	54% 54%	27% 27%	13% 13%	3% 3%	3% 3%	12 12	1069 1069	92 92		1.0 1.0

### Type: **Discussion**

Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: C

STATISTICS

Course: CMPSC 176A 0101 Course Enrollment: 37

(3554)з. How patient was the TA when helping students understand difficult material?

(a) Very patient

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

- (b) Quite patient
- (c) Somewhat patient
- (d) Minimally patient
- (e) Not patient

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	88%	12%				0	17	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	64%	25%	8%	2%	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	64%	25%	88	28	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	64%	25%	88	2%	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0

(3555) 4. How helpful were the TA's feedback and comments on your assignments/labs?

- (a) Very helpful
- (b) Quite helpful
- (c) Somewhat helpful
- (d) Minimally helpful
- (e) Not helpful

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	71%	24%	6%			0	17	1	1.4	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	56%	24%	14%	38	3%	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	38	38	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	38	3%	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0

360136

Type: Discussion

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 176A 0101

37

Course Enrollment:

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

# How helpful was the TA when helping students outside of class?

(a) Very helpful (b) Quite helpful (c) Somewhat helpful (d) Minimally helpful (e) Not helpful

Abbrv: CMPSC

(3556)

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

5.

(f) Not applicable

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	0	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	71%	18%	6%			6%	0	17	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	2%	28	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0

(3557) 6. How respectful was the TA toward students?

- (a) Very respectful (b) Quite respectful (c) Somewhat respectful
- (d) Minimally respectful
- (e) Not respectful

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter Student-weighted Norms (UG students)	88%	12%				0	17	1	1.1	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	72%	21%	5%	1%	1%	12	1069	92	1.4	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time Campus TAs over time	72% 72%	21% 21%	5% 5%	1% 1%	1% 1%	12 12	1069 1069	92 92	1.4 1.4	1.0 1.0

### **NEKRASOV M S** CMPSC 176A 0101 ( F 1100-1150 PHELP1444)

```
360136
```

Type: Discussion

Course Enrollment:

37

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019 Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 176A 0101

NEKRASOV M S CMPSC 176A 0101 ( F 1100-1150 PHELP1444 )

# Survey Number: 360136

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms. End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Abbrv: CMPSC	Instructor: NEKRASOV M S	Rank: Teaching Assistant	Course: CMPSC 176A 0101	Type: <b>Discussion</b>
Department: COMPL	UTER SCIENCE		Course Enrollment: 37	
	Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a des			
NOTICE: Please e	examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report an	y suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instruction	onal Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x427	8) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

(3558) 7. How often did you attend lab/discussion section when this TA was teaching it?

(a) Always (b)	Ofte	en	(C) SC	metim	es	(d) Rarely	(e) Never				
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0			Blank	Total	Total	
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)			Response	Students	Courses	
*> This COURSE current quarter	65%	18%	12%	6%				0	17	1	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	60%	21%	11%	5%	38			10	1069	92	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	38			10	1069	92	
Campus TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	38			10	1069	92	

Please provide your comments on the following question:

# (3248) 8. What were the TA's strengths and/or weaknesses as a teacher?

His slides can be somewhat unorganized, but other than that, he knows the material well and is very helpful.

\_\_\_\_\_

Great at explaining concepts clearly and in an easy to understand way. Section was very interactive which helped me retain information better.

He was very clear with the presentations and really helped to make difficult concepts easier to understand.

\_\_\_\_

# How organized were the discussion/lab sections that this TA led?

(a) Very organized(b) Quite organized(c) Somewhat organized

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

(3552)

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

1.

- (d) Minimally organized
- (a) minute organiz
- (e) Not organized
- (f) Not applicable

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	0 (f)	lank oonse	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	88%	13%					0	16	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	52%	27%	11%	3%	2%	5%	4	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	52%	27%	11%	38	2%	5%	4	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	52%	27%	11%	3%	2%	5%	4	1069	92	1.7	1.0

(3553) **2.** How clearly and precisely did the TA explain the course material?

(a) Very clearly(b) Quite clearly(c) Somewhat clearly(d) Minimally clearly(e) Not clearly

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter Student-weighted Norms (UG students)	81%	19%				0	16	1	1.2	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	54%	27%	13%	38	3%	12	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time Campus TAs over time	54% 54%	27% 27%	13% 13%	38 38	3% 3%	12 12	1069 1069	92 92		1.0 1.0

```
360140
```

Type: Discussion

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 176A 0102

36

Course Enrollment:

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

# How patient was the TA when helping students understand difficult material?

(a) Very patient

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

(3554)

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

з.

- (b) Quite patient
- (c) Somewhat patient
- (d) Minimally patient
- (e) Not patient

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	88%	13%				0	16	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	64%	25%	88	28	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	64%	25%	88	28	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	64%	25%	88	2%	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0

(3555) 4. How helpful were the TA's feedback and comments on your assignments/labs?

- (a) Very helpful
- (b) Quite helpful
- (c) Somewhat helpful
- (d) Minimally helpful
- (e) Not helpful

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	88%	6%	6%			0	16	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	56%	24%	14%	38	38	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	3%	3%	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	3%	38	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0

```
360140
```

Type: Discussion

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 176A 0102

36

Course Enrollment:

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

# (a) Very helpful

How helpful was the TA when helping students outside of class?

- (b) Quite helpful(c) Somewhat helpful(d) Minimally helpful(e) Not helpful
- (f) Not applicable

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

(3556)

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

5.

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	0 (f)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	81%	6%				13%	0	16	1	1.1	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	28	28	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0

(3557) 6. How respectful was the TA toward students?

- (a) Very respectful(b) Quite respectful(c) Somewhat respectful
- (d) Minimally respectful
- (e) Not respectful

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter Student-weighted Norms (UG students)	94%	6%				0	16	1	1.1	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	72%	21%	5%	1%	1%	12	1069	92	1.4	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time Campus TAs over time	72% 72%	21% 21%	5% 5%	1% 1%	1% 1%	12 12	1069 1069	92 92	1.4 1.4	1.0 1.0

Type: Discussion

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 176A 0102

36

Course Enrollment:

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S	Rank: Teaching Assistant	Course: CMPSC 176A 0102	Type: Discussion
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE		Course Enrollment: 36	
Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a descriptio NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any susp			8) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

(3558) 7. How often did you attend lab/discussion section when this TA was teaching it?

(a) Always (b)	Ofte	en	(C) So	ometim	les	(d) Rarely	(e) Never				
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0			Blank	Total	Total	
*NOTE: Each Student Response=7%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)			Response	Students	Courses	
*> This COURSE current quarter	80%	13%	7%					1	16	1	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	60%	21%	11%	5%	38			10	1069	92	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	38			10	1069	92	
Campus TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	3%			10	1069	92	

360140

Please provide your comments on the following question:

## (3248) 8. What were the TA's strengths and/or weaknesses as a teacher?

Great teacher, in depth slides, helpful answers.
He is caring, he is responsible. He is awesome!
Great instructor
Very knowledgeable of material. Prepped for class well. Handled student non-participation gracefully
Very clear and thorough explanation. Going to section generally improved my knowledge of the subject.

Survey Number:

1/7/20

# NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

ESCIONLINE SURVEY

(3552) **1.** How organized were the discussion/lab sections that this TA led?

(a) Very organized(b) Quite organized

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

- (c) Somewhat organized
- (d) Minimally organized
- (e) Not organized
- (f) Not applicable

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	0 (f)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	75%	( )	(0)	(u)	(0)	( 1 )	1	17	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)	1 3 %	200					1	17	-	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr	52%	27%	11%	38	2%	5%	Λ	1069	92	1 7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	52%	278	118	3%	28	5%	Ξ Δ	1069	92		1.0
Campus TAs over time	52%	278	118	38	2%	5%	4	1069	92		1.0

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses. Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms. End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci.

(3553) **2.** How clearly and precisely did the TA explain the course material?

(a) Very clearly(b) Quite clearly(c) Somewhat clearly(d) Minimally clearly(e) Not clearly

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	81%	19%				1	17	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	54%	27%	13%	38	38	12	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	54%	27%	13%	38	38	12	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	54%	27%	13%	3%	38	12	1069	92	1.7	1.0

### Type: Discussion

Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CN

STATISTICS

Course: CMPSC 176A 0103 Course Enrollment: 38

(3554)з. How patient was the TA when helping students understand difficult material?

(a) Very patient

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

- (b) Quite patient
- (c) Somewhat patient
- (d) Minimally patient
- (e) Not patient

Response weighting: *NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)	Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	81%	19%				1	17	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	64%	25%	88	28	18	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	64%	25%	88	2%	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	64%	25%	88	2%	1%	14	1069	92	1.5	1.0

ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses. Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms. End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)

(3555) 4. How helpful were the TA's feedback and comments on your assignments/labs?

- (a) Very helpful
- (b) Quite helpful
- (c) Somewhat helpful
- (d) Minimally helpful
- (e) Not helpful

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	75%	25%				1	17	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	56%	24%	14%	38	3%	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	38	3%	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	56%	24%	14%	38	38	17	1069	92	1.7	1.0

## Type: Discussion

Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 176A 0103

Course Enrollment: 38

(3556) **5.** How helpful was the TA when helping students outside of class?

(a) Very helpful(b) Quite helpful(c) Somewhat helpful(d) Minimally helpful(e) Not helpful(f) Not applicable

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

Abbrv: CMPSC

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	0	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	75%	19%				6%	1	17	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	28	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0
Campus TAs over time	55%	18%	10%	2%	2%	13%	9	1069	92	1.6	1.0

(3557) 6. How respectful was the TA toward students?

- (a) Very respectful(b) Quite respectful(c) Somewhat respectful(d) Minimally respectful
- (d) Millimarry respecti
- (e) Not respectful

Response weighting:	1	2	3	4	5	Blank	Total	Total		
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses	Mean	Median
*> This COURSE current quarter	81%	19%				1	17	1	1.2	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)										
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	72%	21%	5%	18	1%	12	1069	92	1.4	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	72%	21%	5%	1%	18	12	1069	92	1.4	1.0
Campus TAs over time	72%	21%	5%	1%	1%	12	1069	92	1.4	1.0

```
360142
```

Type: Discussion

# **ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS** Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 176A 0103

38

Course Enrollment:

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Understanding and knowledge of course material. Availability outside of class.

\_\_\_\_\_

Survey Number:

He was very good at explaining things and had great materials to aid his teaching. Honestly, I don't think he did anything badly; section was very helpful and he always answered questions well and was very very nice. \_\_\_\_\_

# ESCIONLINE SURVEY STATISTICS

Note that the Campus and Departmental Norms for this Survey are based ONLY on other ESCI Online Courses.

Due to the different method of data collection, these Norms do not include ESCI Surveys collected by the paper response forms.

# End of Fall Quarter 2019 -- ESCI Online

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Winter Quarter 2015 - Fall Quarter 2019

Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S	Rank: Teaching Assistant	Course: CMPSC 176A 0103	Type: <b>Discussion</b>						
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE		Course Enrollment: 38							
Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Office, Instructional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278) or (id-esci@ucsb.edu)									
NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any sus	spected errors to: ESCI Office, Instruct	ional Development, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278	8) or (Ia-esci@ucsb.eau)						

(3558)7. How often did you attend lab/discussion section when this TA was teaching it?

(a) Always (b)	Ofte	n (	c) Sc	metim	es	(d) Rarely	(e) Never				
Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0			Blank	Total	Total	
*NOTE: Each Student Response=6%	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)			Response	Students	Courses	
*> This COURSE current quarter	65%	18%	6%	6%	6%			0	17	1	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -											
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	60%	21%	11%	5%	3%			10	1069	92	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	3%			10	1069	92	
Campus TAs over time	60%	21%	11%	5%	3%			10	1069	92	

Please provide your comments on the following question:

### (3248) 8. What were the TA's strengths and/or weaknesses as a teacher?

He speaks loud and clear. The section is organized. \_\_\_\_\_

Great discussions

\_\_\_\_\_

Michael is very prepared. I also think he does a better job of relating to the students at our level of understanding than I can get from the lectures.

\_\_\_\_\_