

University of California Santa Barbara

CS 4: Computer Science Bootcamp

Winter 2018

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (888)1. The TA's interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter. (a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 Total 4 Blank Total (b) (C) (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (e) This COURSE current guarter 0 47 1 1.2 1.0 79% 21% Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 1 872 62% 26% 98 38 1% 37 1.5 1.0 39 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 31% 98 13072 569 1.5 1.0 58% 18 58% 31% 39 13072 569 1.5 1.0 Campus TAs over time 98 18 (889) 2. The TA's interest and enthusiasm for teaching. (a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor Response weighting: 1 5 2 3 4 Blank Total Total (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (e) 0 This COURSE current quarter 74% 21% 2% 2% 47 1 1.3 1.0 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 1 872 61% 26% 10% 38 18 37 1.6 1.0 1.6 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 56% 30% 11% 28 18 40 13072 569 Campus TAs over time 56% 30% 11% 28 18 40 13072 569 1.6 1.0

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (2654)The TA's preparation of materials and periods of instruction. 3. (a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 Total Total Blank (b) (C) (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (e) This COURSE current guarter 0 47 1 1.3 1.0 77% 19% 4% Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 1 872 1.6 1.0 61% 26% 10% 28 18 37 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 28% 98 28 18 66 13072 569 1.6 1.0 60% 28% 98 13072 569 Campus TAs over time 60% 28 18 66 1.6 1.0 (2655) 4. The TA's clarity and precision of speech. (a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 5 Blank Total Total (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (e) This COURSE current quarter 77% 17% 6% 0 47 1 1.3 1.0 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 28 0 872 58% 26% 118 38 37 1.6 1.0 1.7 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 54% 27% 12% 48 28 51 13072 569 Campus TAs over time 54% 27% 128 4% 28 51 13072 569 1.7 1.0

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (2552)5. Your overall evaluation of the TA. (a) excellent (b) good (c) average (d) fair (e) poor 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 Total 4 Blank Total (b) (C) (d) Students Courses Mean Median (a) (e) Response This COURSE current guarter 0 47 1 1.2 1.0 79% 19% 2% Student-weighted Norms (UG students) 3 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 872 1.6 1.0 60% 27% 98 28 18 37 58 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 30% 98 28 13072 569 1.6 1.0 58% 1% Campus TAs over time 30% 58 13072 569 58% 98 28 18 1.6 1.0 (190)6. How well does your T.A. seem to know the subject matter of the course? (a) truly exceptional knowledge (b) thorough knowledge (c) adequate knowledge (d) inadequate knowledge Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 Blank Total Total (b) (C) (d) Students Courses Mean Median (a) Response This COURSE current quarter 0 1 1.2 1.0 79% 21% 47 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 8 58% 35% 6% 18 872 37 1.5 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 58% 36% 5% 18 116 13072 569 1.5 1.0 Campus TAs over time 56% 38% 6% 18 604 85849 4170 1.5 1.0

Departm	ont and	Compu			-	r Quarter 2018 span: Spring Quarte	or 2012 Wintor	Quarter 2019				
Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE	preting	ESCI D	ata" an	d a desc	cription of	Rank: Teaching	Assistant " can be found at	Course: CMPS Course Enrollme t http://oic.id.ucsb.	nt: 25 edu/esci.	,	: Discus: :4278).	sion
(965) 7. How often does your before attempting t			sure	e that	he/sł	ne understands	s student qu	uestions				
(a) always (b)	usua	.11y	(C)	somet	imes	(d) seldom	(e) almost	t never				
Response weighting:	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)			Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
This COURSE current quarter	72%	26%	2%					0	47	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr	61%	29%	88	18	1%			4	872	37	1.5	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAS over time	61%	298	88	18	18			113	13072	569	1.5	1.0
Campus TAs over time	66%	27%	68	1%				420	67890	3587		1.0
(966) 8. How well is the TA	able	to an	swer	stude	ent que	estions?						
exceptionally well (a)	(b)			ately 11 2)	(d)	al	ot at l well (e)				
Response weighting:	1 (a)	2 (b)	3 (c)	4 (d)	5 (e)			Blank Response	Total Students	Total Courses	Mean	Median
This COURSE current quarter	77%	17%	6%					0	47	1	1.3	1.0
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)												
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	59%	22%	16%	28	1%			5	872	37	1.7	1.0
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	57%	24%	15%	2%	28			114	13072	569	1.7	1.0
Campus TAs over time	55%	32%	11%	2%	18			559	75213	3958	1.6	1.0

For each of the following phrases, indicate how descriptive each is of you TA.

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (8207)9. Talks too slowly... not descriptive very descriptive (a) (b) (d) (e) (C) Blank Response weighting: 5 3 2 1 Total Total 4 Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response This COURSE current quarter 19% 1.6 1.0 2% 2% 11% 66% 0 47 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -12 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 78 68 15% 15% 58% 872 37 1.9 1.0 165 13072 569 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 68 5% 12% 16% 61% 1.8 1.0 7% 13% 18% 56% 722 82375 Campus TAs over time 68 3965 1.9 1.0 (8208)10. Too much repetition of old material... very descriptive not descriptive (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response weighting: 5 3 2 1 4 Blank Total Total (C) (d) (e) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) This COURSE current quarter 2% 4% 2% 22% 70% 1 47 1 1.5 1.0 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 48 5% 98 17% 66% 16 872 37 1.7 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 48 38 98 17% 66% 182 13072 569 1.6 1.0 Campus TAs over time 48 58 12% 21% 58% 733 80373 3862 1.8 1.0

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). 11. Moves too fast for most of the class... (8209) very descriptive not descriptive (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Blank Response weighting: 5 3 2 1 Total Total 4 Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response This COURSE current quarter 1.7 1.0 2% 6% 11% 19% 62% 0 47 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 5% 13% 17% 60% 14 872 37 1.8 1.0 5% 18% 177 13072 569 1.8 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 68 5% 12% 60% 21% 765 82375 Campus TAs over time 48 5% 13% 56% 3965 1.8 1.0 (8210)12. Skips over important steps, takes too much for granted... very descriptive not descriptive (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response weighting: 5 3 2 1 4 Blank Total Total (C) (d) (e) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) This COURSE current quarter 2% 9% 6% 11% 72% 0 47 1 1.6 1.0 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 78 68 11% 17% 59% 14 872 37 1.8 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 78 68 12% 17% 58% 203 13072 569 1.9 1.0 Campus TAs over time 5% 6% 11% 18% 60% 848 80373 3862 1.8 1.0

End of Winter Quarter 2018

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (8211) 13. Helps students perceive the usefulness of the subject matter... very descriptive not descriptive (d) (a) (b) (C) (e) 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 Blank Total Total Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response This COURSE current quarter 15% 1.8 1.0 62% 11% 6% 6% 0 47 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) 872 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 23% 16% 10% 16 37 2.1 2.0 45% 68 204 13072 569 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 43% 24% 18% 68 88 2.1 2.0 73136 Campus TAs over time 27% 15% 5% 848 3507 1.9 2.0 48% 5% Uses the chalkboard/visual aids well in demonstrating a point or working a (8287)14. problem... very descriptive not descriptive (b) (C) (d) (a) (e) Response weighting: 1 5 Blank Total 2 3 4 Total (b) (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (C) (e) This COURSE current guarter 11% 47 1.6 1.0 74% 6% 2% 6% 0 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) 872 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 18% 78 11% 18 37 2.1 2.0 498 15% Dept CMPSC TAs over time 46% 21% 17% 68 10% 251 13072 569 2.1 2.0 Campus TAs over time 22% 16% 5% 78 904 57326 2984 2.0 2.0 49%

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (8215)15. Adjusts his/her method of explanation to the student's level of understanding... very descriptive not descriptive (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 Blank Total Total 4 Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response This COURSE current quarter 70% 15% 4% 0 47 1 1.6 1.0 4% 6% Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 19% 17% 28 8% 17 872 37 1.9 1.0 54% Dept CMPSC TAs over time 210 13072 2.0 50% 23% 16% 5% 68 569 1.9 Campus TAs over time 52% 27% 14% 38 1230 77185 3651 1.8 1.0 48 (8216)16. To what extent is your TA available for individual help outside class hours? (a) not even during office hours (b) only during office hours (c) often in addition to office hours (d) virtually at all times (e) can't really say--not enough experience 1 Response weighting: 4 3 2 0 Blank Total Total (b) (d) (e) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (C) This COURSE current quarter 0 47 2.0 13% 28% 28% 32% 1 1.8 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -2.2 2.0 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 6% 12% 26% 13% 43% 872 37 14 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 48 13% 22% 14% 46% 199 13072 569 2.1 2.0 Campus TAs over time 48 98 308 188 398 1369 89329 4358 2.0 2.0

4/6/18

1.0

1.7 1.0

1.7 1.0

1.5 1.0

1.4

1

37

1

37

545

545

569

Type: Discussion

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (8217)17. 'I am hesitant to ask this TA questions because I get the impression that I am imposing on his/her time.' Do you... Strongly Strongly agree Uncertain Disagree disagree Agree (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) 2 Response weighting: 4 0 1 Blank Total Total 3 Students (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response Courses Mean Median This COURSE current quarter 28% 2% 17% 53% 0 47 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 5% 18% 29% 42% 16 872 6% 30% 206 13072 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 5% 68 16% 44% 3725 79256 Campus TAs over time 38 48 11% 27% 54% 4026 (1946)18. 'I feel that I must have a specific question in mind before I can talk to this T.A.' strongly agree uncertain disagree strongly disagree agree (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response weighting: 0 0 Blank Total 0 0 0 Total (b) (d) Response Students (a) (C) (e) Courses This COURSE current guarter 21% 19% 47 9% 13% 38% 0 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 22% 25% 20% 23% 17 872 118 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 10% 22% 23% 22% 238 221 12731 Campus TAs over time 10% 22% 23% 22% 23% 221 12731

4/6/18

1.0

1.8 2.0

1.7 2.0

1.7 2.0

1.2 1.0

1.5 1.0

1.6 1.0

1.6 1.0

1.4

End of Winter Quarter 2018 Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (1244)19. How effective was this discussion/laboratory section in helping you make connections between the laboratory assignments and the lecture materials? not applicable very verv effective effective ineffective ineffective to this section (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 0 Blank Total Total Students (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response Courses Mean Median This COURSE current quarter 17% 72% 6% 2% 2% 0 47 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 43% 38 18 872 37 40% 11% 38 274 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 42% 42% 10% 38 38 13072 569 67890 Campus TAs over time 39% 41% 98 38 7% 3650 3587 (1898)20. How much did the discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course? (a) they greatly increased the value (b) they slightly increased the value (c) they slightly decreased the value (d) they greatly decreased the value (e) not applicable to this course Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 0 Blank Total Total (d) Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (e) Response This COURSE current guarter 7% 47 89% 4% 2 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr 37% 6% 18 28 57 872 37 54% Dept CMPSC TAs over time 52% 39% 6% 18 28 1023 13072 569 Campus TAs over time 52% 39% 68 18 28 1025 13115 573

End of Winter Quarter 2018

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). 21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sessions for aiding your under-(1236)standing of the course material or your ability to apply it? somewhat not at not very not very useful useful useful all useful applicable (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 0 Blank Total Total Students Courses Mean Median (a) (b) (C) (d) (e) Response This COURSE current quarter 11% 2% 2 1.2 1.0 84% 2% 47 1 Student-weighted Norms (UG students) Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 32% 88 28 1% 58 872 37 1.5 1.0 57% Dept CMPSC TAs over time 35% 1048 13072 53% 88 28 1% 569 1.6 1.0 51% 35% 67890 Campus TAs over time 88 28 48 4589 3587 1.6 1.0

22. How often did you attend the discussions sections? (1281)

> (a) always

Instructor: NEKRASOV M S

- (b) almost always
- about half the time (C)
- (d) seldom
- (e) never

Response weighting:	0	0	0	0	0	Blank	Total	Total	
	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	Response	Students	Courses	
This COURSE current quarter	89%	7%	4%			2	47	1	
Student-weighted Norms (UG students)									
Dept CMPSC TAs current qtr	70%	20%	7%	3%		58	872	37	
Dept CMPSC TAs over time	70%	20%	7%	2%	1%	1040	13072	569	
Campus TAs over time	70%	20%	7%	2%	1%	1040	13072	569	

Abbrv: CMPSC

Type: Discussion

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Rank: Teaching Assistant

Course: CMPSC 4 0103

25

End of Winter Quarter 2018

Department and Campus Norms taken over time span: Spring Quarter 2013 - Winter Quarter 2018 Abbrv: CMPSC Instructor: NEKRASOV M S Rank: Teaching Assistant Course: CMPSC 4 0103 Type: Discussion Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE 25 Course Enrollment: Guidelines for "Interpreting ESCI Data" and a description of the "Report Output" can be found at http://oic.id.ucsb.edu/esci. NOTICE: Please examine these evaluations upon receipt and immediately report any suspected errors to: ESCI Coordinator, Instructional Consultation, 1130 Kerr Hall (x4278). (8219) 23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course. (b) B (a) A (C) C (d) D (e) F 5 Response weighting: 1 2 3 4 Blank Total Total (b) (d) Response Students Courses Mean Median (a) (C) (e) This COURSE current guarter 16% 3 47 1 1.3 1.0 80% 5% Student-weighted Norms (UG students) -74 872 1.5 1.0 Dept CMPSC TAs current gtr 64% 25% 88 28 1% 37 Dept CMPSC TAs over time 278 98 28 1284 13072 569 1.6 1.0 61% 1% Campus TAs over time 66% 25% 78 28 18 7330 112141 5967 1.5 1.0 (8220) 24. Finally, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Thank you. Please see questionnaire.

				· .	
20.	How much did this	s discussion/laboratory se	ction contribute to the ove	erall value of the course'	?
	 a) they greatly increased the val 	b) they slightly	c) they slightly	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
21. appl	How useful were t y it?	he discussion/laboratory s	sections for aiding your ur	iderstanding of the court	se material or your ability to
	a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22.	How often did you	u attend the discussion see	ctions?		
	a) always	b) almost always	c) about half the time	d) seldom	e) never
23.		the second se	in helping YOU master t		
	a) A	b) B c) C	d) D e) 1	F	
		EXTENT.			
			FTEN COMM		ur TA, and offer any advice
		YOU DO NOT HAVE C	ectiveness. Please do not OMMENTS, PLEASE I	LEAVE THIS AREA B	
OURSE	= <u>CS4</u>		TA: Michael	Nokrasov	
	I				
			-		
M	lichad w	as really hel	lpfrl.aud he	was au e	xellent
te	acher.	tor very clea	ptiland he ruhen he g de how hey	vice instruction	on during
Ċ	lass. 1 a	100 apprenta	te how here	patient with	r p-cople
. u	ho are r	vot particon	uputer science,	, or "STEM" sh	hajors deuts. He was
ι	rilling to a	nower all run	dr of "dumb"	Rs Thare	Thank you!
		· · · · ·			· · ·
•					
		•			
			- <u>-</u>		
			J		
			· · ·		
					· . ·

20.	How much did this di a) they greatly	scussion/laboratory sec b) they slightly	ction contribute to the ov c) they slightly	erall value of the course	
	increased the value	increased the value	decreased the value	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
21. app	How useful were the o ly it?	liscussion/laboratory se	ctions for aiding your u	nderstanding of the cours	se material or your ability to
	a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22.	How often did you at	end the discussion sect	ions?		
	a) always	b) almost always	c) about half the time	d) seldom	e) never
23.	Please grade your TA'	s overall performance i	n helping YOU master t	he course:	
	a) A b)	B c) C	d) D e) 1		, 1
		WRIT	TEN COMM	FINITS	
might hav		e mis/ner leaching effect	iveness. Please do not	s and weaknesses of you write comments on the EAVE THIS AREA BI	r TA, and offer any advice you optical scan form. _ANK.
COURSE	<u>CS4</u>		TA: Michael	Nekrasov	
E	injoyed Se	ctions an	d study s	essions.	
		· ·	1	-	
۵۵۵ • • • • •	It is any	to see	that you a	re knowled	gentle
	and you	explain t	he subject	well and	-dj-st
• •	te the le	vel of the	tost unders	Lending co	erch
4	student. V	ery petie	nt and u	vdess kendie	3.
	•	-			

a) they greatly increased the value	b) they slightly increased the value	c) they slightly decreased the value	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
1. How useful were the pply it?	discussion/laboratory se	ections for aiding your un	derstanding of the cours	e material or your abilit
a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
2. How often did you a	ttend the discussion sect	tions?		

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: Computer Science 4 TA: Michael NokraEOV

Michael is an exceptional TA. He has a strong grasp on the material and has the ability to simply complex ideas into something that is easy to grasp. Forthermone, he goes above and beyond to help'study by holding additional (might 1 mention long) out to help students understand the material. He puts a lot of effort into making this class good for everyone.

20. How much did this discussion/laboratory section contribute to the overall value of the course? a) they greatly b) they slightly c) they slightly d) they greatly e) not applicable increased the value increased the value decreased the value decreased the value to this course 21. How useful were the discussion/laboratory sections for aiding your understanding of the course material or your ability to apply it? a) very useful b) somewhat useful c) not very useful d) not at all useful e) not applicable 22. How often did you attend the discussion sections? a) always b) almost always c) about half the time d) seldom e) never 23. Please grade your TA's overall performance in helping YOU master the course: a) A b) B c) C d) D e) F WRITTEN COMMENTS Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK. Mich n la COURSE: TA: Has Derledenbl of G Hope r la a p n B. C. C. 104 P HA ic 60 5 cemin d meu n1d he. problem. However 1. 0 Of S (s students. This experienced. usually confirsed 100 4 7 91 the apph 1 FINd a little disprisult. de gues 7, 100 s

20. How much did this di	scussion/laboratory sec	tion contribute to the ove	rall value of the course?	
a) they greatly	b) they slightly	c) they slightly	d) they greatly	e) not applicable
increased the value	increased the value	decreased the value	decreased the value	to this course
			、 `	
21. How useful were the c apply it?	discussion/laboratory se	ections for aiding your un	derstanding of the cours	e material or your ability to
a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22. How often did you at	tend the discussion sect	tions?		
a) always	b) almost always	c) about half the time	d) seldom	e) never
23 Please grade your TA				
23. Please grade your TA		in helping YOU master th	ne course:	
a) A b)) [°] B c) C	d) D e) 1	7	

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE:	CS 4 TA: Hichael Nokrasov
Michael is	a very helpful TA who is extremely parsionate
about the	subject and making sure that students understand the
material.	I really enjoyed his discussion and review sessions and an
glad to	have him as a Th for this days.

a) they greatly increased the val		c) they slightly decreased the value	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were t apply it?	he discussion/laboratory s	ections for aiding your un	derstanding of the cours	se material or your ability to
a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22. How often did yo	u attend the discussion sec	tions?		

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: <u>CSY</u> TA: <u>Michael Nekrasov</u> Michael was an outstanding TA and what above and beyond when working to help us understand the Gurge material.

					•	
20.	How much did t a) they great increased the v	tly b) they slightly	c) they slightly	overall value of the cours d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course	
21. appl	How useful were y it?	the discussion/laborator;	y sections for aiding you	r understanding of the cou	urse material or your at	oility to
	a) very useful	b) somewhat usefu	l c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable	
22.	How often did yo a) always	ou attend the discussion s b) almost always	ections? c) about half the time	me d) seldom	e) never	· ·
23.]	Please grade your a) A	TA's overall performance b) B c) C		er the course: e) F	• • •	
Using the might hav		ase write any comments y prove his/her teaching eff	fectiveness. Please do n	MENTS gths and weaknesses of yc ot write comments on th & LEAVE THIS AREA H	e optical scan form.	dvice you
COURSE:	: <u>CSU</u>	<u>+</u>	TA:Mīch	al Nikrasol	· · ·	· .
	Very	Portient	-	•		
	2 Ver	Portient y detailed	•	- -	· ·	
·· • • •.	Aque	some			• • • •	
••	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-			· .	
		•			•	·. · ·
- - -	:		- , ,			
	•			•		
						•
•			1		ı .	
. •				• • •		
			•			

20. How much did this d	iscussion/laboratory sec	ction contribute to the over	erall value of the course')
a) they greatly increased the value	b) they slightly increased the value	c) they slightly decreased the value	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were the apply it?	discussion/laboratory se	ections for aiding your un	derstanding of the cours	e material or your ability to
a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22. How often did you at	tend the discussion sect	tions?		
a) always	b) almost always	c) about half the time	d) seldom	e) never
23. Please grade your TA'	s overall performance i	in helping YOU master th	ne course:	
a) A b)	B c) C	d) D e) H		
Using the space below, please w might have as to how to improv IF YOU COURSE: <u>CSY</u> I thought you Kept a good Great TA.	vrite any comments you e his/her teaching effec J DO NOT HAVE CO	TA:Michael	and weaknesses of you write comments on the EAVE THIS AREA BI	optical scan form. LANK.

	a) they greatly increased the va		ey slightly sed the value	c) they slightly decreased the value	 d) they greatly e decreased the value 	e) not applicable to this course	
21. appl	How useful were t y it?	the discussion	Maboratory se	ections for aiding you	ur understanding of the co	ourse material or your abi	lity to
	a) very useful	b) some	what useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable	-
22.	How often did yo	u attend the c	liscussion sect	ions?		•	
	a) always		ost always	c) about half the t	ime d) seldom	e) never	•
23. 1	Please grade your ' a) A	TA's overall b) [.] B	performance i c) C	n helping YOU mas d) D	ter the course: e) F	· ·	
			., .	u) <i>D</i>		· · ·	
sing the light hav		Nove ms/ner	comments you teaching effec	tiveness. Please do	ngths and weaknesses of y not write comments on E LEAVE THIS AREA	the optical scan form.	lvice you
OURSE	CSE			TA:	sel Nokr	KSAI.	
Saw	1 TRJ	Ziers	:alme	ys au	allache Me	+ as da	ez
in (Oje land	it 22.0		all a straight of	St. Para	e and a second se	
1.			1 Car	by D.		- siggele	44
C.p.	glage		~	- ut	e and e seate	· · · · ·	-, ·
,		. .				· .	
•	•		÷				
	•						
				· · ·			
	· · ·	•		-		· ·	
			1	. 1			
			×		•		
			•	•			
				•	· · · ,	•	
				-			
					· · · · · · · · ·		
					·		

20. How much did	this discussion/laborator	y section contribute to the ov	erall value of the course	?
a) they grea	• • • •		d) they greatly	e) not applicable
increased the	value increased the va	lue decreased the value	decreased the value	to this course
21. How useful wer apply it?	e the discussion/laborate	ory sections for aiding your u	nderstanding of the cou	rse material or your ability to
a) very usef	ul b) somewhat use	ful c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22. How often did	you attend the discussion	n sections?		
a) always	b) almost alway	c) about half the time	e d) seldom	e) never
23. Please grade yo	our TA's overall perform	ance in helping YOU master	the course:	
a) A	b) B c) C	C d) D e)	F	,

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: (34	TA: Michael Nekrasov
-----------	----	----------------------

Very friendly and willing to provide help.

a) they greatl increased the va		c) they slightly decreased the value	d) they greatly decreased the value	e) not applicable to this course
21. How useful were t apply it?	he discussion/laboratory s	ections for aiding your L	inderstanding of the cour	se material or your ability to
a) very useful	b) somewhat useful	c) not very useful	d) not at all useful	e) not applicable
22. How often did you	attend the discussion sec	tions?		• •
a) always	b) almost always	c) about half the time	e d) seldom	e) never
	A's overall performance	in helping YOU master	the course:	
23. Please grade your]	Portorinarioo			
23. Please grade your] a) A	b) B c) C	d) D e)		, ,
23. Please grade your] a) A	b) B c) C		F	* * *

Using the space below, please write any comments you have about the strengths and weaknesses of your TA, and offer any advice you might have as to how to improve his/her teaching effectiveness. Please do not write comments on the optical scan form. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE COMMENTS, PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA BLANK.

COURSE: Went. Ibre Makdel MA. Ha M Ĩ hØ No. hold in me .. matt. Un lacha Melin naí c Sold and a second Allowin and 加加加计 Unlocation in his touch of. Marcalle Mal abity 0.850 hindd

l L cel

2	0. How muc	h did this di	scussion/laborator	v section contribu	ite to the ove	rall value of the	course?		
	a) the	y greatly	b) they slightl						
			increased the va	y c) they s	the volue	• d) they great	- ,	not applicable	÷ ·
	•			de decreased i	UIC VAILLE	decreased the v	value ti	o this course	•
2	Howusefu	I mere the d	iconnais- dit				-		
2 ar	ply it?		iscussion/laborato	ry sections for an	ding your und	lerstanding of th	e course mate	rial or your at	oility to
		use ful	Ελ Τ	•					
	· a) very	userui	b) somewhat usef	ul c) not very	y useful	d) not at all use	eful e)	not applicable	
				•					
22	. How often	did you atte	end the discussion	sections?	-				
	a) alv	vays	b) almost always	c) about h	alf the time	. d) seldor	נר	e) never	
			-			2) 201001			
23	. Please grad	e vour TA's	overall performan	ca in holning VC	TT 4T		•		
	a) A					e course:			
	a) A	p).	B c) C	d) D	e) F		a	,	
	•								
			· WR	TTEN C	IOMM	RINTS			
Using t	he snace helo	w pleace un					÷	- '	
might h	ave as to how	r prease with the transformed set of the t	rite any comments his/her teaching e	YOU DAVE ADOUT I	he strengths a	and weaknesses	of your TA, a	nd offer алу а	dvice you
		TEVOTI		COLORISS. PIE	ase do not w	rite comments	on the optica	l scan form.	,
		IP IOU	DO NOT HAVE	CUMMENTS, I	PLEASE LE	AVE THIS AR	EA BLANK.		
COURS	E: _ CS	ч				1 1	10	. •	
COORD	D			_ ; TA:M	1 Chal	l No	612501	J	·
	- 1	1 A	1		-				
N0 -	t rela	ted	to TA	peter	mana	0 *			· · ·
Con	-10		rs PA	(
COF	side	US.C	ns PA	sy sta	2m :	· · · ·	6	1	
	. 11	11. 0	of he	· (* a	1 17	-V 12	eture	
110	~ ((,	Kind	of he	ind to	her	~			
·· -		· .	• • • ••		new,	· · · · ·		• •	~
				-					
• •							ı		
	•		,						
	•								
	-					•	,		
	•		-			· · ·			
	•	•					• •		
	•								
r									
			•						÷.
· 2	•					•			
			•	• _					
			•						
		:			,			•	
					,				~
	, ,			•	•				
		•					•		•
					<u>,</u>				,
				·	•	•			÷
					•		•		
•						•			
			·				į		
			-	•		• .			
	۰.		an ta				•		
		•							
			and the second second						1
•				•		× .	,		
		4	•						